BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION 450 N Street, Room 121 Sacramento, California

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
AUGUST 29, 2006

ITEM J
CHIEF COUNSEL MATTERS
RULEMAKING

Reported by: Beverly D. Toms
No. CSR 1662

PRESENT

For the Board of Equalization:

John Chiang Chairman

Bill Leonard

Member

Betty T. Yee Acting Member

Marcy Jo Mandel
Appearing for Steve Westly,
State Controller (per
Government Code
Section 7.9)

Gary Evans, Acting Chief, Board Proceedings Division

Board of Equalization Staff:

Ian Foster

Bradley Heller

1 2 Sacramento, California August 29, 2006 3 4 ---000---5 Item J, Chief Counsel Matters. MR. EVANS: 6 This is a status report on the new Rules of Practice, 7 Chapter 4, Appeals from Actions of the Franchise Tax 8 Board. 9 Ms. Cazadd will make the presentation. 10 MR. CHIANG: Okay, very good. 11 Mr. Foster will make the presentation. 12 MR. CHIANG: Good morning, gentlemen. 13 MR. FOSTER: Good morning, Mr. Chair and 14 Members. Ian Foster with the Appeals Division. 15 Again, this is just a status report to show you where we are on Chapter 4. We've made three particular 16 17 changes since the last meeting where we discussed this, and two of them relate to removing provisions that would 18 19 have denied oral hearings. We've removed the provision 20 that would have denied oral hearings when it's a repeat case involving the same facts and issues. We've removed 21 22 the streamline briefing process which would have denied oral hearings, as well.

And the other major change is that we have rewritten much of the language to make it read easier in more plain English format.

MR. CHIANG: Very good.

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. FOSTER: Any questions?

MR. CHIANG: Ouestions or comments?

MR. LEONARD: I have asked for a further change that you and I corresponded about.

MR. FOSTER: Yes, sir.

2.4

MR. LEONARD: And I really want to commend the staff for the -- their work on this. I had a concern, Members, regarding the -- the times hopefully that will be more -- more useful when we ask for formal opinions of what the taxpayer's situation is while we wait for a formal opinion to be drafted and come back before us. Do they know how we voted and can they choose to petition for rehearing, pay the tax, stop the interest from accruing. And apparently there is a process that already has been developed for that, that I'd like included in Part 4 so taxpayers know.

It's not exactly a letter decision, but it reflects our actual vote and our sub -- secondary motion to ask staff to develop a formal opinion that we then can choose to adopt at a later hearing. I think that really helps the process, puts the taxpayer in a position that they have knowledge about the situation and can make their choices about the tax obligation.

MR. FOSTER: That's correct, that is our current practice and it's easy enough to incorporate into the rules.

MR. LEONARD: Excellent. Thank you.

MS. MANDEL: And I gave you a bunch of comments over the phone.

1 MR. FOSTER: Yes, Ms. Mandel.

MS. MANDEL: Editing. It's a massive project and every eye that looks at it sees another missing word or something.

MR. FOSTER: Right.

MR. LEONARD: Yes.

MR. FOSTER: And you had a number of good suggestions that we'll be working on, as well.

MR. CHIANG: Could we have a time frame for a response to Marcy and Bill's issues?

MR. FOSTER: I can get all of that in there this week and -- I mean, certainly all that language will be in before the next month's Board meeting.

MR. LEONARD: And what they're also doing, which I think is great, is the web site is -- is showing the clean version and additions so that practitioners and others that want to follow what -- what changes are being made can easily follow comment of the Board Members about that for our adoption vote as it comes up.

MR. CHIANG: When you make those -- complete those changes, will you communicate back to Marcy and Bill so that they can review it, make sure that it's accurate -- accurately reflected.

MR. FOSTER: I will be happy to.

MR. LEONARD: I think you know that Marcy and I are not going to let him -- I got him on his day off here, so you can't get away.

MR. FOSTER: There's plenty of communication

1 | with those offices, so, yeah. Not a problem.

MR. CHIANG: I just wanted to make sure because I didn't want to hear it up here.

MR. FOSTER: Very well.

MR. CHIANG: Very good.

MR. HELLER: Really briefly, also, staff is preparing to bring Chapter 5 to the Board Members for -- for their approval along with all the other chapters for approval to publish at the September 26th Board meeting and is currently in the process of preparing an overall analysis of all the important issues in the overall project, including detailed analysis of some of the issues raised by the provisions of Chapter 5. And we are hoping to have a draft of our analysis prepared in the next week or so, and hopefully releasing that a week later after that.

MS. MANDEL: Can --

MR. HELLER: And -- what --

MS. MANDEL: Can -- I'm sorry. I'm in an interrupting mode, I'm sorry.

MR. HELLER: Okay.

MS. MANDEL: When can we see the most current drafts so that I can read them so carefully with my little pencil the way I just did for whatever one we're talking about, Chapter 4?

MR. HELLER: My -- we're -- I believe we're shooting for having the analysis done by sometime next week and a draft done by the end of this week, and then

the -- we can have drafts of the rules available probably I would say early next week when we have the memo all ready so that they would accompany each other. MS. MANDEL: Yeah, I'm just thinking in time for the public agenda notice because that's what happened this -- this time. i managed to get it on the day that the public agenda notice went out. MR. HELLER: Well, we'll make sure that they --that at least drafts of whatever the current language is as of next week go out next week along with the memo so that there is --MS. MANDEL: Okay. MR. HELLER: -- a contemporaneous reference. MS. MANDEL: Okay. MR. CHIANG: Okay. Thank you very much. Next item. ---000---

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	State of California)
4) ss
5	County of Sacramento)
6	
7	I, BEVERLY D. TOMS, Hearing Reporter for the
8	California State Board of Equalization certify that on
9	August 29, 2006 I recorded verbatim, in shorthand, to
10	the best of my ability, the proceedings in the
11	above-entitled hearing; that I transcribed the shorthand
12	writing into typewriting; and that the preceding 7 pages
13	constitute a complete and accurate transcription of the
14	shorthand writing.
1 -	
15	
	Dated: September 8, 2006.
16	Dated: September 8, 2006.
16 17	Dated: September 8, 2006.
16 17 18	Dated: September 8, 2006. BEVERLY D. TOMS
16 17 18 19	
16 17 18 19 20	BEVERLY D. TOMS
16 17 18 19 20 21	BEVERLY D. TOMS
16 17 18 19 20 21	BEVERLY D. TOMS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BEVERLY D. TOMS
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BEVERLY D. TOMS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BEVERLY D. TOMS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BEVERLY D. TOMS